

1 **FRANK C. GILMORE, ESQ. - NSB #10052**
 2 fgilmore@rssblaw.com
 3 **HANNAH E. WINSTON, ESQ. - NSB #14520**
 4 hwinston@rssblaw.com
 5 **Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust**
 6 71 Washington Street
 7 Reno, Nevada 89503
 Telephone: (775) 329-3151
 Facsimile: (775) 329-7169

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 7 ENENSTEIN PHAM & GLASS,
 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

9 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
 10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 In Re:

13 DOUBLE JUMP INC.,

14 Debtor.

15 Affects:

16 DC Solar Solutions, Inc.
 17 DC Solar Distribution, Inc.
 18 DC Solar Freedom, Inc.
 Double Jump, Inc.

19 CHRISTINA W. LOVATO,

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 ENENSTEIN PHAM & GLASS, A
 PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION,

23 Defendant.

Lead Case No. BK-19-50102-gs

Chapter 7

Jointly Administered with:

19-50130-gs	DC Solar Solutions, Inc.
19-50131-gs	DC Solar Distribution, Inc.
19-50135-gs	DC Solar Freedom, Inc.

Adv. Proc. No. 21-05040-gs

**ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED
 ADVERSARY COMPLAINT**

Hearing Date: N/A

Hearing Time: N/A

25 Defendant Enenstein Pham & Glass (“Defendant”), by and through its counsel, Robison,
 26 Sharp, Sullivan & Brust, answers the First Amended Adversary Complaint (“Complaint”) filed by
 27 Plaintiff Christina W. Lovato, by and through her counsel, Jeffrey Hartman, Esq. of Hartman &
 28 Hartman and Michael S. Budwick, Esq., Solomon B. Genet, Esq., Gil Ben-Ezra, Esq., and Kevin

1 Paule, Esq. of Meland Budwick, P.A., ("Plaintiff"), as follows:

2 **PARTIES**

3 1. Paragraph 1 contains only legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond
4 to same. To the extent paragraph 1 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

5 2. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 2.

6 **JURISDICTION**

7 3. Paragraph 3 of the Complaint does not contain any charging allegation which
8 requires this Defendant to admit or deny the same. To the extent the paragraph contains allegations
9 which require a response, the Defendant denies the same. However, Defendant denies that Nevada
10 substantive law applies to all of the claims asserted herein, but admits that Nevada's choice of law
11 provisions will determine which substantive law applies. Defendant admits that the Bankruptcy
12 Court may "hear" the claims, but Defendant denies that this Court has jurisdiction to enter final
13 orders or judgments or to conduct the requested jury trial. Defendant denies that this action is
14 constitutionally permitted to be a core proceeding, and Defendant does not consent to the entry of
15 final orders or judgments or the conduct of a jury trial by the bankruptcy court. Defendant denies
16 the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 regarding venue of the Complaint, but has elected not to
17 challenge venue.

18 4. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant
19 does not consent to the entry of final orders or judgments or the conduct of a jury trial by the
20 bankruptcy court.

21 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

22 **I. DC Solar and the Carpoff Ponzi Scheme**

23 5. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
24 Paragraph 5, and therefore, denies same.

25 6. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 6.

26 7. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 7 on information and belief.

27 8. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
28 Paragraph 8, and therefore, denies same.

1 9. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
2 Paragraph 9, and therefore, denies same.

3 10. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 on information and belief.

4 11. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
5 Paragraph 11, and therefore, denies same.

6 12. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
7 Paragraph 12, and therefore, denies same.

8 13. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
9 Paragraph 13, and therefore, denies same.

10 14. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
11 Paragraph 14, and therefore, denies same.

12 15. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
13 Paragraph 15, and therefore, denies same.

14 16. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
15 Paragraph 16, and therefore, denies same.

16 17. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
17 Paragraph 17, and therefore, denies same.

18 18. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
19 Paragraph 18, and therefore, denies same.

20 **II. The Raid Through the Debtors' Bankruptcy Filing**

21 19. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 19.

22 20. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
23 Paragraph 20, and therefore, denies same.

24 21. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 21.

25 **III. The Defendant and the Transfers**

26 22. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 22.

27 23. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 23.

28 24. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 24.

1 25. Paragraph 25 appears to quote from a written document. The written document
 2 speaks for itself; therefore, Defendant denies any allegations in Paragraph 25 that are inconsistent
 3 with the written document.

4 26. Responding to Paragraph 26, Defendant admits that First American transferred
 5 \$75,000 to Defendant's client trust account on February 25, 2019. Defendant denies the remaining
 6 allegations in Paragraph 26.

7 27. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 27.

8 28. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 28.

9 29. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 29.

10 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

11 **COUNT I**
 12 **Post-Petition Transfer**
 12 **11 U.S.C. § 549**

13 30. Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

14 31. Responding to Paragraph 31, Defendant admits receiving a wire transfer from First
 15 American on February 25, 2019, to its client trust account.

16 32. Paragraph 32 contains legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond to
 17 same. To the extent Paragraph 32 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

18 **COUNT II**
 19 **Actual Fraudulent Transfer**
 19 **11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A)**

20 33. Paragraph 33 contains legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond to
 21 same. To the extent Paragraph 33 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

22 34. Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

23 35. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 35.

24 36. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 36.

25 **COUNT III**
 26 **Constructive Fraudulent Transfer**
 26 **11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B)**

27 37. Paragraph 37 contains legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond to
 28 same. To the extent Paragraph 37 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

38. Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

39. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 39.

40. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in

Paragraph 40, and therefore, denies same.

41. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 41 upon information and belief.

11 U.S.C. § 550(a) COUNT IV

42. Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

43. Paragraph 43 contains legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond to same. To the extent Paragraph 43 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

44. Paragraph 44 contains legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond to same. To the extent Paragraph 44 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

COUNT V Conversion

45. Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

46. Paragraph 46 contains legal conclusions; therefore, Defendant need not respond to same. To the extent Paragraph 46 contains factual allegations, Defendant denies same.

47. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 47.

48. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 48.

49. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 49.

COUNT VI
Turnover
11 U.S.C. § 542

50. Defendant incorporates the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

51. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 51.

52. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 52.

53. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 53.

54. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 54.

111

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent avoidable and otherwise recoverable by Plaintiff, Defendant is a good faith transferee who received the Transfer in satisfaction of a present or antecedent debt without knowledge of the voidability of the transfer sought to be avoided in the Complaint pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550(b)(1) and/or (b)(2).

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Each of Plaintiff's claims is barred by the doctrine of *in pari delicto*.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In relation to any alleged transfer, Defendant was a conduit for all or part of the transferred property and did not have control or dominion over the transferred property.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In relation to any alleged Transfer, Plaintiff is prohibited from recovery from Defendant under 11 U.S.C. § 550.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Each of the claims is barred by the Doctrine of Estoppel.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Each of the claims is barred by the Doctrine of Waiver.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Each of the claims is barred by the Doctrine of Laches.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent Defendant received any purported property, it did so in good faith, for value, and without knowledge of the voidability of the transfer.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred or subject to reduction based upon the doctrines of setoff and/or recoupment, including by virtue of the doctrine of unjust enrichment to the extent of payments made, services rendered, time expended, and consideration provided by Defendant for which it would be unjust for Plaintiff to benefit.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent of any transfer made and sought to be recovered herein, Defendant is a good faith transferee who took for value and in good faith, and Defendant has a lien on or may retain any interest transferred or may enforce any obligation incurred, as the case may be, to the extent it gave value to the debtor in exchange for such transfer or obligation, pursuant to section 548(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and any analogous provision of any applicable state law.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint is barred by the statute of limitations.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays as follows:

1. That Plaintiff take nothing by way of the Complaint;
2. That Defendant be awarded reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
3. For such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 14th day of July 2021.

ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST
71 Washington Street
Reno, Nevada 89503

By: /s/ Frank C. Gilmore
FRANK C. GILMORE
HANNAH E. WINSTON

Attorneys for Defendant-Enenstein Pham & Glass

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to FRBP 7005 and FRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST, that I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the above-referenced case, and that on the date below I caused to be filed and served a true copy of **ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED ADVERSARY COMPLAINT** as indicated: below:

XX **BY NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING:** through Electronic Case Filing System of the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada, to the individuals and/or entities at their email addresses as set forth below:

Jeffrey L. Hartman, Esq., notices@bankruptcyreno.com

Michael S. Budwick, Esq., mbudwick@melandbudwick.com

Solomon B. Genet, Esq., sgenet@melandbudwick.com

Gil Ben-Ezra, Esq., gbenezra@melandbudwick.com

Kevin Paule, Esq., kpaule@melandbudwick.com

BY MAIL: by placing the document listed above in a sealed envelope with Postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail at Reno, Nevada, and addressed as set forth below. I am readily familiar with my office's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on 14 July 2021, with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.

DATED: This 14th day of July, 2021.

/s/Mary Carroll Davis
Employee of Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust